Ars los rend -or

PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 678-362

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Kim, et al.

GROUP ART UNIT: 2685

SERIAL NO.:

09/405,328

EXAMINER: LE, Lana N.

FILED:

September 24, 1999

DATED: December 22, 2003

FOR:

APPARATUS AND METHOD OF SEARCHING

FOR PN SEQUENCE PHASE IN MULTI-CARRIER

CDMA MOBLIE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Refund Section, Accounting Division, Office of Finance

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Sir:

I. REFUND REQUEST

This is a request for a refund with respect to a payment a filing fee for a Notice of Appeal incurred because of a delay that is believe to have been caused by the Examiner at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office with respect to the above-identified application.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postpaid in an envelope, addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: December 22, 2003

Michael I Musella

FEES CHARGED FOR WHICH REFUND IS REQUESTED II. AMOUNT OF REFUND REQUESTED OS RATTO CONTROL DANS AND CONTROL filing fee surcharge for filing the basic filing fee on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. §1.16(e) and/or surcharge for filing the oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. §1.16(e) extension of term first month second month third month fourth month excess claims issue fee petition fee patent maintenance fee first maintenance fee second maintenance fee third maintenance fee patent maintenance fee surcharge \$ 320.00 X other Notice of Appeal

\$ 320.00

TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED

III. EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ERROR

An after final Response was timely filed on February 24, 2003 with a one (1) month extension of time. On April 22, 2003 an attempt was made to discuss the Examiner's delay in replying. After leaving several unreturned telephone calls to the Examiner, we contacted the Examiner's supervisor on April 22, 2003, and were then contacted by the Examiner late in the afternoon of the same day. The Examiner apologized for not timely replying to the after final Response, and that an Advisory Action would be issued. In order to preserve the Applicant's rights, a Notice of Appeal was filed as the Advisory Action had not been issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. On April 23, 2003 the Examiner sent to us a courtesy copy of the Advisory Action via facsimile.

Due to the delay and issuance of the Advisory Action by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, a payment of the Notice of Appeal filing fee was required.

IV. MANNER OF REFUND

Please make refund by

- X crediting Deposit Account No. 04-1121.
- __ refunding payment.

Respectfully requested,

Paul // Farrell Reg. No. 33,494

Dated: December 22, 2003 Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, New York 11553 (516) 228-8484 (tel) (516) 228-8516 (fax) PJF/MJM/dr